Advances in the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis in dogs
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Abstract
In the last 20 years, the diagnosis of pancreatitis has become more frequent as a result of improved diagnostic modalities such as abdominal ultrasound examination, advanced imaging, and immunoassays for the measurement of pancreatic lipase. Our aim is to provide a state-of-the-art overview of the clinical diagnosis of acute pancreatitis (AP) in dogs with a particular focus on pancreatic lipase assay validation and clinical performance, in addition to advanced imaging modalities. We also discuss the potential indications for cytology and histopathology in dogs with suspected AP.
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1 ABSENCE OF A WELL-DEFINED CONSENSUS GOLD STANDARD

The diagnosis of AP in dogs is hampered by the lack of a universally-accepted gold standard.1 Traditionally, histopathology has been considered the gold standard for the diagnosis of pancreatitis and for distinguishing AP from chronic pancreatitis (CP). Acute pancreatitis is defined as inflammation of the exocrine pancreas that is not associated with permanent histopathologic changes, such as fibrosis and atrophy.2,3 It is characterized primarily by neutrophilic inflammation, edema, and necrosis.2 Chronic pancreatitis, in contrast, is characterized by fibrosis and acinar cell atrophy.2,4 Lymphocytic or mixed mononuclear infiltrates commonly are reported.2,5,6 The use of these definitions, although preferred by some authors, does not always reflect the clinical presentation of affected patients, and reliance on a histopathologic diagnosis for AP is questionable because lesions can be highly localized and the immediate clinical relevance of lesions is unclear.6-9 Collection of histopathologic samples also is considered invasive, and dogs with severe AP may be poor anesthetic candidates.10 Thus, many clinicians utilize a clinical reference standard, integrating the results of several diagnostic modalities.
We utilize a combination of suggestive clinical history, physical examination findings, increased pancreatic lipase concentration and either suggestive ultrasonographic or advanced imaging findings to diagnose AP. This diagnostic strategy may select for only the more severe cases of AP, which may influence perceived test performance. This approach has its own limitations, including variation in diagnostic criteria among clinicians and resolution of discrepant findings (eg, imaging findings suggestive of pancreatitis in the absence of supportive clinicopathologic data), resulting in inconsistent diagnostic standards. Consequently direct comparison among studies is often challenging. Agreement of diagnostic criteria, as with a consensus statement, could facilitate study design and thus progress in the study of pancreatitis. Of note, studies that compare multiple assays under the same study design may allow for better inter assay comparisons and, alternatively, Bayesian latent class analysis, which allows for evaluation of test performance in the absence of a defined reference standard, could be valuable. We will discuss diagnostic test performance in relation to a specified reference standard throughout this review.

2 | CLINICAL SIGNS

No clinical sign or combination of signs has been identified as pathognomonic for AP in dogs. Current paradigms suggest that AP has a more overt clinical presentation, which includes anorexia, vomiting, weakness, and abdominal pain, which is sometimes evidenced by the so-called “prayer position”. In contrast, CP often involves more subtle recurring gastrointestinal signs, but acute-on-chronic presentations also are recognized, and thus acute clinical presentations may not correlate to histopathologically acute disease. Additional signs of AP may include diarrhea and evidence of nausea (eg, lip smacking or licking, ptyalism, eructation). Additional details on clinical signs and the underlying pathophysiology are available in recent reviews.

3 | PHYSICAL EXAMINATION FINDINGS

Similarly to clinical signs, physical examination findings in dogs with AP vary considerably, depending on the severity of AP, but may include dehydration, pain on abdominal palpation, increased rectal temperature, hypothermia, icterus, petechiation, ecchymosis, and ascites. Additionally, signs of cardiovascular shock may be noted. Because of the nonspecific nature of clinical signs and physical examination findings, integration of this data with laboratory and imaging findings is essential to effectively diagnose AP.

4 | ROUTINE CLINICOPATHOLOGICAL FEATURES

The CBC can reflect dehydration, characterized by increased PCV and total protein concentration. Hypoproteinemia may occur as a result of a negative acute phase (albumin) response, loss of protein into inflammatory exudates, or secondary to fluid therapy. Thrombocytopenia can develop because of consumption secondary to inflammation, but when severe, thrombocytopenia can indicate disseminated intravascular coagulation. A left shift in neutrophils is relatively common and may occur in the absence of an increased white blood cell count. Thus, microscopic evaluation of a blood smear is important. Serum concentrations of acute phase reactants, such as C-reactive protein and inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-6 (IL-6) also may be increased.

The serum biochemistry profile may disclose azotemia, which can be prerenal or reflect acute kidney injury (AKI) secondary to pancreatitis. A urinalysis before fluid therapy is necessary to differentiate these conditions. Additionally, serum symmetric dimethylarginine (SDMA) concentration may be a more sensitive biomarker for detection of AKI secondary to AP. Increased serum liver enzyme activities (ie, alanine aminotransferase [ALT], aspartate aminotransferase [AST], alkaline phosphatase [ALP], and γ-glutamyl transferase [GGT]) are frequent and likely reflect secondary reactive hepatic changes or posthepatic cholestasis from pancreatic inflammation compressing the common bile duct. Rarely, functional hepatic cholestasis secondary to a cytokine response or excess fatty acids can alter bilirubin metabolism. Hypocalcemia is uncommon but may occur secondary to hypoalbuminemia or from formation of calcium salts with fatty acids in areas of necrosis. Urine sediment examination may show cylindruria as with AKI or other nonspecific changes such as bilirubinuria, which may occur with extrahepatic bile duct obstruction (EHBDO). Proteinuria also may be present in dogs with AP.

4.1 | Serum amylase activity

Amylase initially was proposed as a biomarker of AP after experimental studies in dogs, but subsequent studies indicated suboptimal performance, and alternate biomarkers were pursued. Less than ideal specificity likely reflects the multiple tissues of origin of amylase. Indeed, dogs that have undergone total pancreatectomy still have considerable serum amylase activity. In a study utilizing histopathology (22 samples) as the reference standard, serum amylase activity had a sensitivity of 18.2% for detection of pancreatitis, which was significantly lower relative to Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory, College Station, Texas; 63.6%). Dogs had both neutrophilic and lymphocytic pancreatic infiltrates on histopathology. Another study (84 dogs) utilizing a clinical reference standard comprised of history, physical examination findings, routine clinicopathologic data, and abdominal ultrasound (AUS), had a higher sensitivity of 52.4% to 54.6% and a specificity of 76.7% to 80.6%. Yet another study (64 dogs) utilizing a similar clinical reference standard yielded a sensitivity and specificity of 68.9% and 81.8%, respectively. Despite the moderate diagnostic performance of serum amylase activity in both of these studies, assays measuring pancreatic lipase performed more favorably in the same study designs when utilizing the recommended diagnostic cut-offs. Additionally, other studies utilizing clinical signs and histopathology as reference standards have shown much lower sensitivities of 7% to 40.9%. Amylase activity also has been shown to be increased in dogs with decreased renal function, which may complicate its interpretation. Serum amylase activity therefore is
**TABLE 1** Overview of analytic and clinical validation data for common immunologic pancreatic lipase assays in dogs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Analytic evaluation</th>
<th>Clinical evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analytic validation</td>
<td>Clinical evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec cPL/cPLI</td>
<td>Laboratory: Inter- and intra-assay CV &lt;12%&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sensitivity: 21%–71%&lt;sup&gt;63,64,75&lt;/sup&gt; Specificity: 90%–100%&lt;sup&gt;7,44,60&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical: mean intra-assay CV 5.5%&lt;sup&gt;56&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sensitivity: 70.0%–90.9%&lt;sup&gt;44,49,50&lt;/sup&gt; Specificity: 74.1%–88%&lt;sup&gt;44,49,50&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median: 0.1 μg/L (RI: &lt;400 μg/L)&lt;sup&gt;57&lt;/sup&gt; 98% of dogs had lipase concentration within lower 20% of RI (≤40 μg/L)&lt;sup&gt;79&lt;/sup&gt; 100% of dogs had lipase concentration within lower 25% of RI (≤50 μg/L)&lt;sup&gt;79&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effect of heparinization&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; No significant effect&lt;sup&gt;74&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effect of lipemia, icterus, and/or hemolysis&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; No significant effect&lt;sup&gt;52&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAP cPL</td>
<td>Laboratory: 96%–100% agreement between SNAP cPL and Spec cPL when Spec cPL lipase was within RI&lt;sup&gt;63&lt;/sup&gt; 88%-92% agreement between SNAP cPL and Spec cPL when Spec cPL lipase &gt; RI&lt;sup&gt;63&lt;/sup&gt; Clinical agreement with Spec cPL k = 0.78&lt;sup&gt;69&lt;/sup&gt; ICC = 0.92&lt;sup&gt;50&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VetScan cPL</td>
<td>Laboratory: interassay CV 31.8%, intra-assay CV 25.1%&lt;sup&gt;55&lt;/sup&gt; Clinical: mean intra-assay CV 17.0%&lt;sup&gt;56&lt;/sup&gt; Clinical agreement with Spec cPL ICC = 0.96&lt;sup&gt;50&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vcheck cPL</td>
<td>No laboratory evaluation</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical: mean intra assay CV 23.7%&lt;sup&gt;56&lt;/sup&gt; Clinical agreement with Spec cPL Unknown</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Dogs with EPI should have negligible serum concentrations of pancreatic lipase.

<sup>b</sup>Heparinization leads to release of lipoprotein lipase and hepatic lipase.

<sup>c</sup>Lipemia and icterus are commonly seen in dogs with suspected pancreatitis.
no longer considered part of the routine diagnostic approach to AP in dogs. However, when serum amylase activity is substantially increased (>3-5 times the upper reference interval) in a dog with suggestive clinical signs, pancreatitis should be considered a potential differential diagnosis.

5.1 LIPASE ASSAYS

5.1.1 Canine pancreatic lipase immunoreactivity

Overview of analytic and clinical validation data for common catalytic pancreatic lipase assays in dogs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Analytic evaluation</th>
<th>Clinical evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analytic validation</td>
<td>Measurement in EPI dogs&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGGR lipase</td>
<td>Laboratory: interassay CV ≤14%&lt;sup&gt;69&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Median 34 U/L (RI: 20-94 U/L) Lipase within RI in 33/48 dogs&lt;sup&gt;73&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linearity: R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; = 0.96&lt;sup&gt;69&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Clinical agreement with Spec cPL k = 0.43-0.68&lt;sup&gt;60,75&lt;/sup&gt; ICC = 0.89&lt;sup&gt;80&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v-LIP-P</td>
<td>Laboratory: inter- and intra-assay CV = 5%&lt;sup&gt;77&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>58% of dogs had lipase concentration within lower 20% of RI (≤32 U/L) 78% of dogs had lipase concentration within lower 25% of RI (≤40 U/L)&lt;sup&gt;78&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical agreement with Spec cPL r = 0.97&lt;sup&gt;79&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Dogs with EPI should have negligible serum activities of pancreatic lipase.

<sup>b</sup>Heparinization leads to release of lipoprotein lipase and hepatic lipase.

<sup>c</sup>Lipemia and icterus are commonly seen in dogs with suspected pancreatitis.

Pancreatic lipase is derived from pancreatic acinar cells and under normal physiologic conditions, very little enters the systemic circulation. Internal pancreatic lipase is a natural occurring model for assay specificity testing. Analytic validation is considered a prerequisite to clinical validation. Thus, in this review, we will discuss analytic validation for each before evaluation of clinical performance. Although quality assurance guidelines have been released by the American Society for Veterinary Clinical Pathology (ASVCP), not all analytic studies designs are consistent with these guidelines. The nature of validation techniques is discussed in the relevant sections below. Overviews are provided in Tables 1 and 2.
recognizing a specific epitope of pancreatic lipase (Spec cPL; Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory). This assay was shown to be linear and have repeatability across the reportable range of the assay (30-1000 μg/L; inter- and intra-assay coefficient of variation [% CV] <12%) and lacked interference from lipid, hemoglobin, or bilirubin. Linearity plots for Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) had an \( R^2 = .99 \). The reference interval for the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay is 0 to 200 μg/L, with an equivocal zone between 201 and 399 μg/L, which suggests the need for repeat testing as clinically indicated. Concentrations ≥400 μg/L are highly suggestive of pancreatitis. The cut-offs were determined based on a case series of sick dogs with histopathologic evaluation of the pancreas (personal communication JMS), but have been utilized and verified in several clinical studies. A recent study also evaluated the repeatability of the Spec cPL assay (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) in a clinical setting and compared the results to 2 patient-side pancreatic lipase assays. In this study, serum samples from 12 dogs with clinical signs of gastrointestinal disease underwent repeat testing across the reportable range of each assay (6 replicates per assay) using the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory), VetScan cPL Rapid Test (Abaxis, Inc, Union City, California), and the VChek cPL (Biorex, Hwaseong-si, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea). The Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) had the lowest %CV (5.5%; range, 2.9%-5.2%) of the 3 assays and thus the highest repeatability. Additionally, transportation variables (samples had to be mailed to a diagnostic laboratory) did not have significant effects on the results of the Spec cPL assay (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay, but this information has not been published in the peer-reviewed literature to date.

The specificity of the original sandwich canine pancreatic lipase immunoreactivity (cPL) ELISA was evaluated in 25 dogs with EPI. Serum cPL concentrations were low and the median concentration was 0.1 μg/L (range, 0.1-1.4 μg/L) compared to 16.3 μg/L (range, 1.4-270.6 μg/L) in the control group, suggesting that measurement of cPL does not detect nonpancreatic lipases. This also was shown to be the case with the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay, but this information has not been published in the peer-reviewed literature to date.

1.1.2 Clinical performance

1.1.2.1 Utilizing histopathology as the assigned reference standard

An early study evaluating the clinical performance of the original cPL assay evaluated its sensitivity in a population of 22 dogs with macroscopic evidence of pancreatitis at necropsy. These dogs underwent necropsy for a variety of causes. Neutrophilic and lymphocytic inflammatory change, edema, necrosis, and pancreatic atrophy were scored separately. All dogs had histologic evidence of low-grade pancreatic inflammation as determined by a previously published grading scheme. Twenty of the 22 dogs (90.9%) had clinical signs of pancreatitis and 6/9 (66.7%) had ultrasonographic evidence of pancreatitis. Sixteen of 22 dogs (72.3%) had cPLI concentrations ≥200 μg/L and 14/22 (63.4%) had cPLI concentrations ≥400 μg/L. Six of 22 (27.3%) dogs did not have increased cPLI concentrations. Of these 6 dogs, 1 had no clinical signs of AP, 1 had no ultrasonographic evidence of pancreatitis, 3 had clinical signs consistent with AP but did not have AUS performed, and 1 dog had both clinical signs and AUS findings suggestive of AP. Because of study design, specificity was not determined. A subsequent study evaluated the specificity of the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay. In this study, 20 dogs with macroscopic evidence of pancreatitis and an additional 44 dogs surrendered for euthanasia underwent physical examination and serum Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) measurement before necropsy and histopathologic assessment of the pancreas. Forty dogs had no histologic evidence of pancreatitis and the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentration was within reference intervals in 38/40, within the equivocal zone in 1/40, and 1/40 dogs had increased concentrations, indicating a specificity of 97.5%. The equivocal zone result was not included in the calculation of specificity. Another study evaluated the sensitivity and specificity of the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay in 70 dogs comparing results from samples collected within 24 hours of death with semiquantitative histopathologic assessments of the severity of pancreatitis. Sixty-three of 70 dogs (90%) had histopathologic features of pancreatitis. The Spec cPL assay (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) had a sensitivity of 21% for histopathologically mild and 71% for histopathologically moderate to severe pancreatitis, with a corresponding specificity of 100%. Histopathology identified features of AP and CP concurrently in 58/63 (92.1%) of samples, with only 5/63 (7.9%) having features of AP alone. A third study found sensitivity of 33% and specificity of 90%. Different reported sensitivities could be related to suspected differences between the sensitivity of the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay for detection of AP vs CP, because of decreased enzyme leakage in a fibrotic or atrophied pancreas.

1.1.2.2 Clinical performance compared to a defined clinical reference standard or Bayesian analysis

The sensitivity of the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay reported in clinical diagnosis study designs tends to be higher than that reported in some histopathologic studies, possibly reflecting the frequent detection of histopathologic lesions in the absence of clinical pancreatitis. In 1 of the first studies utilizing a clinical diagnosis, 38 dogs with clinical signs of acute abdominal disease had an SNAP canine pancreatic lipase (SNAP cPL; IDEXX Laboratories, Inc, Westbrook, Maine) and a Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) performed and results were compared to a clinical reference standard diagnosis based on patient history, physical examination findings, clinicopathologic data, and AUS. Sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) were 70%, 77%, and 75%, respectively. Agreement between Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) and clinical diagnosis had a kappa score of 0.43. An additional study in 50 dogs presented for clinical signs of gastrointestinal disease documented sensitivity of 81.0% to 90.9% and specificity...
of 74.1% to 81.1% when compared to a clinical reference standard including AUS. Agreement between Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) and clinical diagnosis had an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) of 0.68. Another study utilized a latent class model to assess performance of the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay in 84 dogs. In this study, the estimated sensitivity and specificity of the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay was 71.7% to 77.8% and 80.5% to 88.0%, respectively.

1.1.3 Discrepancies between abdominal ultrasound and Spec cPL assay results

A retrospective study evaluated medical records from 157 dogs that had AUS and Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay performed within 30 hours of each other. Abdominal ultrasound findings were weakly correlated with the results of the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay when pancreatic lipase concentrations were within the reference interval and a 88% to 92% agreement when pancreatic lipase concentrations were above the reference interval. Samples classified as normal by SNAP cPL (IDEXX Laboratories, Inc) but abnormal by Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) had pancreatic lipase concentrations within the diagnostic equivocal zone. Assay precision was evaluated by performing 10 replicates per sample, replicated across 3 days with visually normal and abnormal results recorded. A single discrepant result occurred and was classified as normal despite having a Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentration of 337 μg/L (diagnostic equivocal zone). No interference from bilirubin (up to 17-19 mg/dL), lipids (up to 7.4 optical density units at 660 nm), or hemoglobin (up to 490-510 mg/dL) was observed.

2.1 SNAP canine pancreatic lipase

2.1.1 Development and validation studies

The SNAP cPL (IDEXX Laboratories, Inc) is a patient-side assay that utilizes antibodies against specific epitopes of canine pancreatic lipase. A validation study utilized 49 serum samples distributed across the testable range of the assay, finding a 96% to 100% agreement with the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay when pancreatic lipase concentrations were within the reference interval and a 88% to 92% agreement when pancreatic lipase concentrations were above the reference interval. Samples classified as normal by SNAP cPL (IDEXX Laboratories, Inc) but abnormal by Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) had pancreatic lipase concentrations within the diagnostic equivocal zone. Assay precision was evaluated by performing 10 replicates per sample, replicated across 3 days with visually normal and abnormal results recorded. A single discrepant result occurred and was classified as normal despite having a Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentration of 337 μg/L (diagnostic equivocal zone). No interference from bilirubin (up to 17-19 mg/dL), lipids (up to 7.4 optical density units at 660 nm), or hemoglobin (up to 490-510 mg/dL) was observed.

2.1.2 Clinical performance

Bayesian latent class analysis was used to estimate the sensitivity and specificity of the SNAP cPL (IDEXX Laboratories, Inc) assay in 84 dogs, and were found to be 91.5% to 94.1% and 71.1% to 77.5%, respectively. Another study evaluated the clinical performance of the SNAP cPL (IDEXX Laboratories, Inc) assay in 38 client-owned dogs presented to an emergency department with clinical signs of acute abdominal disease. In that study, sensitivity and specificity of the SNAP cPL (IDEXX Laboratories, Inc) assay relative to a clinical reference standard that included AUS were 82% and 59%, respectively. A false positive rate of 41% was reported. The manufacturer states that a positive SNAP test (IDEXX Laboratories, Inc) must be confirmed by measuring Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) because the SNAP test (IDEXX Laboratories, Inc) is abnormal for dogs in the equivocal zone of 200 to 400 μg/L. Agreement between SNAP cPL (IDEXX Laboratories, Inc) and clinical diagnosis had kappa score of 0.33. In a third study, the sensitivity and specificity of the SNAP cPL (IDEXX Laboratories, Inc) assay were found to be 73.9% to 100% and 71.1% to 77.8% utilizing a clinical reference standard that included AUS. Agreement between the SNAP cPL (IDEXX Laboratories, Inc, Westbrook, Maine) and Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) has been reported to have a kappa score of 0.78 and an ICC of 0.92.

2.2 VetScan cPL rapid test

2.2.1 Development and validation studies

The VetScan cPL Rapid Test (Abaxis, Inc) is a quantitative point-of-care immunoassay for the measurement of canine pancreatic lipase. A partial analytical validation study of the VetScan cPL (Abaxis, Inc) assay was performed utilizing serum samples to assess linearity (6 samples), repeatability (10 samples) and reproducibility (3 samples) of results. The linearity of the assay was evaluated using dilutional parallelism with observed-to-expected ratios of 119.3 ± 28.7%. Observed-to-expected ratios of 80% to 120% generally are considered acceptable. The mean intra-assay variability (assay runs were performed in succession) was 25.1% (range, 16.9%-36.7%) and the mean interassay variability (assay runs were performed on consecutive days) was 31.8% (range, 14.1%-51.2%). Statistical methods for assessment of correlation were not performed. This study was performed in a research environment using stored serum samples. A subsequent study was performed using serum from 12 dogs with clinical signs of gastrointestinal disease at the point of care. The mean within-day CV for the VetScan cPL (Abaxis, Inc) was 17.0% (range, 4.7%-32.6%). This study also noted that the least square-mean cPLI concentration as measured for the VetScan cPL (Abaxis, Inc) assay was lower (558.5 μg/L) than that of the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory; 807.9 μg/L), suggesting that utilization of reference intervals designed for the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay, as outlined in the manufacturer's product guidelines, may not be suitable. A comparative least-square mean approach was performed to account for additional variations, such as different working ranges of each assay.

2.2.2 Clinical performance

The clinical performance of the VetScan cPL rapid test (Abaxis, Inc) was evaluated in a prospective study (50 dogs) comparing 4 pancreatic lipase assays to a clinical reference standard diagnosis
including AUS.\textsuperscript{50} The sensitivity of the VetScan cPL Rapid Test (Abaxis, Inc) was 73.9% to 83.3% and the specificity was 76.9% to 83.8%.\textsuperscript{50} Agreement between the VetScan cPL (Abaxis, Inc, Union City) and Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) was reported to have an ICC of 0.96.\textsuperscript{50}

2.3 Vcheck canine pancreas-specific lipase assay

2.3.1 Development and validation studies

The Vcheck cPL (Bionote) is a point-of-care immunoassay that utilizes antibodies that bind canine pancreatic lipase.\textsuperscript{67} To our knowledge, no validation studies have been published, although the package insert notes a measurable range of 50 to 2000 μg/L and no interference by triglycerides or bilirubin.\textsuperscript{67} This assay was included in a comparative repeatability study and the mean CV between replicates (performed on the same day) was 23.7% (range, 4.6%-40.8%).\textsuperscript{56} In that study, serum samples above the detectable limit of the assay were excluded from analysis, resulting in 9/12 dogs being available for assessment of repeatability. Serum samples from 5/9 dogs had a CV >20%.\textsuperscript{56} Prior studies have reported a CV of ≤20% as acceptable.\textsuperscript{65} This study also confirmed a lack of interference from gross hemolysis and lipemia on the results of the Vcheck cPL (Bionote) assay.\textsuperscript{56} The VCheck cPL 2.0 (Bionote) subsequently has been developed, and further study is required to determine whether precision, linearity, and accuracy have been improved.

2.3.2 Clinical performance

To our knowledge, no peer-reviewed publications have evaluated the clinical performance of the Vcheck cPL (Bionote) assay or its agreement with the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay.

5.2 Catalytic (activity) assays

1 1,2-Diglyceride assays

Original lipase activity assays were based on hydrolysis of 1,2 diglyceride (1,2 DiG) resulting in a color change.\textsuperscript{68} Although these assays were an improvement over other early lipase activity assays, 1,2 DiG assays also were shown to lack specificity with increased lipase activities noted postlaparotomy, and in dogs with renal and hepatic disease.\textsuperscript{69-71} Given substantial increase in the use of 1,2-dilauryl-rac-glycero-3-glutaric acid-(6′-methylresorufin) ester (DGGR) and triolein-based assays in recent years, our review will focus on these methodologies.

2 DGGR assays

2.1 Validation and development

In 2001, a pancreatic lipase assay utilizing DGGR as a substrate was developed.\textsuperscript{72} The assay first was studied in dogs in 2005, utilizing a Hitachi 911 automated analyzer (Boehringer Mannheim Corp, Laval, Quebec, Canada) and a commercially available DGGR reagent (Coloripase, NuClin Diagnostics, Inc, Northbrook, Illinois).\textsuperscript{69} This validation study utilized serum from 30 dogs, 15 of which had a clinical diagnosis of AP based on history, clinical signs, and AUS. Low within-run %CVs (<3%) and low to moderate day-to-day %CVs (≤14%) were found. The assay was linear, precise, and lacked interference by hemolysis (hemolytic index up to 1000 units) and lipemia (lipemia index up to 950 units).\textsuperscript{69} The mean $R^2$ for linearity plots was .98.\textsuperscript{69} Comparison of the catalytic rate constants for 1,2 DiG assays and DGGR assays suggested that DGGR assays were more selective than 1,2 DiG assays and may be more specific for pancreatic lipase.\textsuperscript{69} Thus, utilization has shifted from 1,2 DiG assays to DGGR-based assays over the past several years. However, a study published in abstract form noted that serum lipase concentrations, measured by a DGGR lipase assay, were within reference limits in 33/48 dogs with EPI, in which pancreatic lipase concentrations should be undetectable.\textsuperscript{73} This observation and significant postheparinization increases in serum lipase activity in dogs using these assays suggest that DGGR is not exclusively hydrolyzed by pancreatic lipase.\textsuperscript{74} In 2018, another DGGR-based assay (DiaSys Lipase DC FS, Holzheim, Germany) underwent analytic validation.\textsuperscript{75} The assay was linear ($R^2 = 0.998$; lipase, 51-246 nmol/L), and low interassay (0.3%-1.1%) and intra-assay (0.7%-0.9%) %CVs were reported.\textsuperscript{75}

2.2 Clinical performance

In 2005, the performance of the DGGR lipase assay (automated analyzer: Hitachi 911, Boehringer Mannheim Corp, DGGR substrate: Coloripase, NuClin Diagnostics, Inc) was compared to a clinical reference standard based on patient history, clinical signs, and AUS findings.\textsuperscript{69} The sensitivity of the assay with a diagnostic cut-off of 120 U/L was determined to be 93%, with a corresponding specificity of 53%. Using a cut-off of 180 U/L improved the specificity to 66% with a corresponding sensitivity of 73%.\textsuperscript{69} Receiver operator curve (ROC) analysis identified similar areas under the curve (AUCs) for both cut-offs. In 2014, the clinical performance of a DGGR-based lipase assay (Lipase Colorimetric, Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland) in 142 dogs was compared to the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay, and showed kappa scores 0.55 to 0.79, depending on the cut-off utilized.\textsuperscript{76} The kappa coefficient was 0.55 (0.43-0.67) when utilizing the recommended reference intervals. Although this finding was reported as a high level of agreement, Cohen’s kappa statistic was mainly designed to assess agreement or disagreement of operators of subjective diagnostic tests (eg, abdominal ultrasound, cytology, histopathology) and for 2 assays measuring the same analyte, strong agreement >0.9 would be expected. In 2018, another study evaluated the clinical performance of a DGGR lipase assay produced by a different manufacturer (DiaSys Lipase DC FS). The assay was analytically validated and the clinical performance of the assay was evaluated in 18 dogs.\textsuperscript{75} The DGGR lipase assay showed agreement with the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay ($k = 0.68$).\textsuperscript{75} In 2018, the clinical performance of a different DGGR lipase-based assay was studied (Precision PSL: Antech Diagnostics,
Irvine, California) in 50 dogs with clinical signs of gastrointestinal disease.\textsuperscript{50} The sensitivity and specificity of the assay compared to a clinical reference standard, that included AUS were 85.7\% to 90.9\% and 64.0\% to 74.3\%, respectively.\textsuperscript{50} Agreement with Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) had an ICC of 0.89.\textsuperscript{50} The decreased specificity compared to the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) in the same population and using the same study design was suspected to be caused by a lack of substrate specificity of DGGR for pancreatic lipase.\textsuperscript{74} To our knowledge, no studies have directly compared the performance of different DGGR lipase assays. Given the rapid and cost-effective nature of DGGR lipase assays, several laboratories have incorporated these tests into routine serum biochemistry panels. As with other analytes, the results must be interpreted in light of the complete clinicopathologic picture.

2.3 Discrepancies between abdominal ultrasound and DGGR assay results

Similarly to the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay, discrepancies have been noted between the results of DGGR lipase assays and AUS in dogs with AP.\textsuperscript{76} A 2014 study found that agreement between a DGGR lipase assay and AUS was fair ($k = 0.29\text{,}0.35$).\textsuperscript{76} The authors subsequently evaluated factors influencing correlation between AUS and pancreatic lipase, reporting that radiology submission forms containing the words “suspicion of pancreatitis” or “increased lipase” were more likely to generate a final AUS interpretation of pancreatitis compared to evaluation in the absence of these descriptors.\textsuperscript{11} Blinded evaluation of AUS images is recommended when utilizing a clinical reference standard in research.

3 Triolein-based assays

3.1 Fuji DRI-CHEM v-LIP-P assay (V-LIP-P)

3.1.1 Validation and development

An analytical validation of the V-LIP-P (Fujifilm Corporation, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan) was published in abstract form in 2019. In this study, serum samples from 73 dogs with clinical signs of gastrointestinal disease were evaluated using the V-LIP-P assay (Fujifilm Corporation) in addition to DGGR-based and 1,2 DiG-based assays.\textsuperscript{77} Intra- and interassay variabilities were low (<5\%) and dilutional parallelism indicated high linearity (correlation coefficient close to 1). This data was published in abstract form and an exact $R^2$ value was unavailable. The ROC analysis also indicated a higher AUC for the V-LIP-P assay (Fujifilm Corporation; 0.90) compared to DGGR-based (0.84), and 1,2 DiG based (0.84) assays.\textsuperscript{77} Eight serum samples spiked with Intralipid (Fresenius Kabi, Clayton, North Carolina; ±300 mg/dL) had significant increases in serum lipase concentration and were above the working range of the V-LIP-P assay (Fujifilm Corporation). Hemolysis also was reported to affect lipase activity. This marked increase in lipase activity because of lipemia however was likely a consequence of the model used for evaluating the effect of hyperlipidemia. The standard for such studies uses the addition of Intralipid to serum, which has been reported to falsely amplify the effects of lipids on lipase activity assays. In 8 dogs with naturally-occurring hyperlipidemia, the effects of lipid on V-LIP-P (Fujifilm Corporation) results were lower.\textsuperscript{78} This study also evaluated V-LIP-P (Fujifilm Corporation) lipase concentrations in 50 dogs with EPI. The study found significant differences between lipase concentrations measured by the V-LIP-P (Fujifilm Corporation) assay when compared to healthy dogs. However, overlap existed and 42\% of dogs had lipase concentrations above the lower 20\% of the reference interval, indicating that many dogs had substantial detection of lipase by the V-LIP-P (Fujifilm Corporation) assay. Thus, triolein does not appear to be specific for the measurement of lipase of pancreatic origin.\textsuperscript{78}

In 2011, serum lipase concentrations as measured by the V-LIP-P assay (Fujifilm Corporation) were compared to lipase concentrations measured by the Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) assay in 65 dogs.\textsuperscript{79} Given that 12 dogs had serum lipase concentrations outside the detection limits, these were excluded from analysis. There was good correlation ($r = .91$) between the V-LIP-P (Fujifilm Corporation) and Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory). Evaluation of the correlation coefficient curves indicates increasing variation at higher lipase activities or concentrations, beginning at a Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentration of approximately 400 μg/L.\textsuperscript{79}

3.1.2 Clinical performance

In 2016, a study of 64 dogs evaluated the clinical utility of various assays including the V-LIP-P assay (Fujifilm Corporation) in a primary care hospital setting.\textsuperscript{45} Serum amylase activity and the V-LIP-P assay (Fujifilm Corporation) were compared to a clinical reference standard of compatible clinical signs, AUS findings, and increased Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentration. This study reported sensitivity and specificity of 100\% (95\% CI, 87.7\%-100\%) and 89.5\% (95\% CI, 66.9%-98.7\%) respectively when using a cut-off of 160 U/L.\textsuperscript{45} This study also reported a higher diagnostic performance of amylase activity in the diagnosis of AP than previously reported, suggesting that case selection may have impacted the sensitivities and specificities reported in the study.\textsuperscript{45}

6 | PANCREATIC LIPASE CONCENTRATIONS IN DOGS WITH PRIMARY DISEASES OTHER THAN PANCREATITIS

6.1 | Renal disease

Early studies of surgically-induced chronic renal failure noted increases in serum lipase activity as measured by a functional assay, suggesting that hyperlipasemia was a poor biomarker of AP in dogs with chronic kidney disease (CKD).\textsuperscript{57} However, a subsequent study, using similar methodologies, reported no clinically relevant increases in lipase or serum cPLI concentrations, thus questioning the results of the previous experiment.\textsuperscript{80} Recent studies also have investigated the relationship AKI and lipase concentration. In 2016, 2/5 young purpose-bred dogs with...
gentamicin-induced AKI developed increases in Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory), and 4/52 serially measured Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentrations were consistent with a diagnosis of pancreatitis. Additionally, Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentrations did not consistently correlate with serum creatinine concentrations, and the mechanism for the increases therefore is unlikely to be attributed to glomerular filtration rate. This finding is not unexpected because pancreatic lipase is negatively charged and fairly large, with a molecular mass of approximately 50.7 kDa. A retrospective study of 864 serum samples at a commercial laboratory found that azotemic dogs had higher median amylase activity, lipase activity, and cPLI concentrations when compared to nonazotemic dogs. Likewise, a retrospective study documented that renal disease was the most common non-pancreatic cause of increased DGGP lipase activity, but the increases were poorly correlated with plasma creatinine concentrations, suggesting a complex interaction. An additional study noted a high prevalence of increased Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentrations in dogs with hemodialysis-dependent AKI. The combined interpretation of these studies suggests that renal function may have an impact on some lipase assays. However, they also point to a complex interplay between the kidneys and the pancreas in naturally-occurring disease, the mechanism of which requires further study.

6.2 | Cardiac disease

Increased Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentrations have been reported in dogs with myxomatous mitral valve disease (MMVD). In 42 dogs with congestive heart failure (CHF), Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentrations were correlated with severity of heart failure and given that CHF is associated with occult tissue hypoperfusion, we suspect that this correlation may reflect subclinical secondary pancreatic injury in CHF. Alternatively, the increased pancreatic lipase concentrations may be secondary to pancreatic edema in the absence of inflammation, which recently was reported in a pilot study of dogs with portal hypertension. Lipase activity as measured by a catalytic assay also has been shown to be increased in dogs with MMVD.

6.3 | Endocrine disease

Increased Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentrations are reported in 73% of dogs with diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA). In this study of 119 dogs, an increased Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentration did not affect clinical outcome or duration of hospitalization. Diabetes mellitus (DM) is associated with a 12.4× increased risk of pancreatitis in dogs, and these diseases may occur concurrently with overlapping clinical signs. Dogs with DM and increased lipase concentrations should be evaluated for abdominal discomfort and have AUS performed. They also should be evaluated for hypertriglyceridemia because it may mediate the relationship between these diseases. Increased Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentrations have been reported in dogs with hyperadrenocorticism (HAC), 35% of which had no clinical signs of AP in 1 study. Increased DGGR lipase and 1,2 DiG lipase concentrations also have been reported in 22 dogs with HAC and no clinical or ultrasonographic signs of AP. Given that exogenous glucocorticoids cause only minor increases in Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) and DGGR-lipase, we suspect that increased lipase concentrations in dogs with HAC reflect subclinical pancreatic injury of unknown clinical relevance.

6.4 | Other diseases

Pancreatic lipase concentrations are increased in several infectious diseases including parvovirus gastroenteritis, babesiosis, and monocytic ehrlichiosis. Pancreatic lipase concentrations also may be increased in dogs with intervertebral disc disease, foreign bodies and gastric-dilation and volvulus. These increases in pancreatic lipase concentration may be secondary effects on the exocrine pancreas associated with the primary disease condition. A complete clinical evaluation including history, physical examination, and diagnostic imaging is required to assist in interpretation of lipase concentrations in these conditions.

7 | ADDITIONAL DIAGNOSTIC MARKERS

7.1 | Micro-RNA biomarkers

The diagnostic potential of microRNAs in the detection of pancreatic injury was evaluated using a caerulein infusion model of AP. In this study, miR-148a, miR-216a, miR-216b, miR-217, and miR-375 were increased coincident with histopathological evidence of acinar cell...
injury.\textsuperscript{110} These micro-RNAs had higher peak concentrations and a wider dynamic range than traditional serum amylase and lipase activities.\textsuperscript{110} A subsequent study reported that miR-126a and miR-375 concentrations reflected the extent of pancreatic injury in dogs with experimentally-induced pancreatitis.\textsuperscript{111}

\section*{8 \hspace{1cm} DIAGNOSTIC IMAGING}

\subsection*{8.1 \hspace{1cm} Abdominal radiography}

Radiographic findings associated with AP include decreased serosal detail within the cranial abdomen, a focal mass effect between the pyloroduodenal angle and colon, and mild gas dilatation of the duodenum.\textsuperscript{19} Radiographs are also useful in ruling out other differential diagnoses in dogs with acute abdominal disease.

\subsection*{8.2 \hspace{1cm} Abdominal ultrasound findings}

Abdominal ultrasound findings consistent with AP include pancreatic enlargement, hypoechoic parenchyma (focal or diffuse), hyper-echoic surrounding mesenteric fat, peri-pancreatic fluid, EHBDO, or some combination of these (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{19,26,37,112,113} Sequelae, such as pancreatic and peri-pancreatic fluid accumulations (eg, abscesses, cysts, pseudocysts) and gastric wall edema are also readily identified using ultrasonography.\textsuperscript{114,115} Despite its frequent use, B-mode AUS can be affected by gas within the stomach or duodenum, patient discomfort, and operator inexperience.\textsuperscript{116} Clinical information provided to the sonographer and disease severity also may influence interpretation.\textsuperscript{11} Lastly, hypoalbuminemia, portal hypertension, and other physiologic conditions may result in pancreatic edema and similar ultrasonographic abnormalities.\textsuperscript{117,118}

Studies have indicated variable sensitivities and specificities for sonographic detection of AP in dogs, and these likely reflect differences in operator skill and the reference standard utilized. One study documented a sensitivity of 68\% for ultrasonographic detection of fatal acute pancreatitis (based on clinical signs and histopathologic findings).\textsuperscript{19} In a retrospective study of 157 dogs using a clinical reference standard, with AUS and Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) measured within 30 hours of each other, AUS had high sensitivity when utilizing a single ultrasonographic abnormality whereas 3 abnormalities were required to obtain high specificity.\textsuperscript{14} Specifically, when only 1 of pancreatic enlargement, altered pancreatic echogenicity or hyperechoic mesentery was required for a diagnosis of AP, sensitivity was high at 89\% (95\% CI, 71.8-97.7) but specificity was low at 43\% (95\% CI, 34.0-51.6).\textsuperscript{14} In contrast when all 3 changes were required, sensitivity and specificity were 43\% (95\% CI, 24.5-62.8) and 92\% (95\% CI, 85.3-95.7), respectively.\textsuperscript{14} B-mode ultrasonography also may be utilized for monitoring dogs with AP. In 1 study, changes in sonographic severity of pancreatitis were not correlated with changes in pancreatic lipase concentration, but the number of animals with repeat ultrasonographic evaluations was limited (12 dogs).\textsuperscript{14} A recent study of 38 dogs utilized AUS repeated within approximately 2 days in patients suspected of having AP based on clinical signs and a point-of care pancreatic lipase assay (SNAP cPL; IDEXX Laboratories, Inc). This study concluded that ultrasonographic signs of AP may not be present at the time of presentation and may only become observable later during hospitalization.\textsuperscript{16} Thus, previously noted diagnostic discrepancies between AUS and pancreatic lipase may reflect different sampling timelines or alternatively different rates of resolution of AUS as compared to pancreatic lipase after an acute pancreatic insult.

\subsection*{8.3 \hspace{1cm} Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography}

Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography (CEUS) utilizes microbubble contrast agents to characterize focal lesions and organ perfusion. Regions of interest on the captured images are manually indicated, and dedicated quantification software assists in interpretation of the captured images. This modality recently was evaluated in dogs with AP, given its correlation with perfusion and ability to distinguish inflammatory (hyperechoic enhancement) and necrotic (nonenhancement) lesions in humans.\textsuperscript{119-122} As in humans, CEUS was able to detect changes in pancreatic perfusion in dogs with naturally-occurring AP and selected parameters could distinguish dogs with AP from control dogs.\textsuperscript{122,123} In a prospective study of 23 dogs with pancreatitis, dogs with AP had a more prolonged peak time (Tp), a higher peak intensity, and higher AUC than did control dogs. When compared to a clinical reference standard composed of compatible clinical signs, an increased Spec cPL (Texas A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentration and standard B-mode ultrasonography, a Tp ≥48 seconds had a sensitivity and specificity of 90\% (95\% CI, 70-97) and 83\% (95\% CI, 55-95), respectively.\textsuperscript{122} Currently, CEUS is not widely available in veterinary medicine.
8.4 | Elastography

Elastography is a noninvasive imaging technique that uses sound waves to assess tissue mechanical properties such as stiffness. Diseased tissues can have different stiffness values when compared to healthy tissues, and specific software packages can detect these differences. Elastography recently was investigated in 25 dogs, including 9 with suspected AP based on clinical signs, abdominal discomfort and clinicopathologic data. Shear wave velocity was higher in dogs with suspected AP than in the control group.

8.5 | Computed tomography angiography

The speed of image acquisition using multislice computed tomography (CT) has increased dramatically, allowing for rapid image collection. Computed tomography angiography (CTA) utilizes iodinated contrast material to better evaluate blood flow in organs and surrounding tissues. Iodinated contrast agents are excreted by the kidneys, which should be considered before using them in patients that are dehydrated or have concurrent kidney disease. The normal pancreas is iso- to hypoattenuating relative to the spleen and liver, and uniformly undergoes contrast enhancement. In AP, the pancreas is enlarged, hypoattenuating, and may show homogenous or heterogenous contrast enhancement (Figure 2A,B). In a study of 26 dogs, heterogenous contrast enhancement of the pancreas, which likely indicates decreased vascularity, was associated with longer hospitalization, increased risk of relapse, higher likelihood of portal vein thrombosis (Figure 2A,B) and increased serum Spec cPL concentrations. When comparing CTA to AUS, CTA was found to be superior at identifying severe AP and portal vein thrombosis (10/26 dogs had thrombi on CT vs 1/26 dogs using AUS). Although CTA allowed for better visualization of the pancreas, accuracy of detection of AP was not different between CTA and AUS. Computed tomography angiography allows for rapid and complete evaluation of the pancreas and may detect imaging features and sequelae that affect clinical management. A recent study evaluated the role of repeat abdominal CTA in 11 dogs with AP and found that repeat CTA examinations were unlikely to be helpful in disease monitoring in the absence of worsening clinical signs.

8.6 | Magnetic resonance imaging

On magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), the normal pancreas is uniformly hyperintense relative to the liver on T1-weighted images and is iso- to hypointense on T2 fat-saturated images. When evaluating for pancreatitis, in both humans and cats, MRI images are assessed for pancreatic parenchymal hypersensitivity (on T2 fat saturated images), contrast enhancement, pancreatic duct dilatation, and peri-pancreatic abnormalities. Currently, no peer-reviewed publications are available on the use of MRI in dogs with suspected AP.

9 | PANCREATIC CYTOLOGY AND HISTOPATHOLOGY

Many clinicians are hesitant to sample the pancreas, but the complication rate for doing so is reported to be very low. A study of 27 healthy dogs undergoing pancreatic fine needle aspiration (FNA) and surgical biopsy identified no increase in serum Spec cPL.
Cytologic findings. (A) Microscopic image of pancreatitis with mature exocrine pancreatic cells in the upper left, accompanied by red blood cells and increased numbers of nondegenerate neutrophils in a coarsely granular proteinaceous background (500×, Wright Giemsa Stain, image provided by Francisco O. Conrado). (B) Microscopic image of pancreatitis containing mineralized material (hyperchromatic purple refractile material), red blood cells, neutrophils, and scattered vacuolated macrophages that likely contain lipid from fat degradation. The background also contains purple granular material consistent with lubricant ultrasound coupling gel (400×, Wright Giemsa Stain, image provided by Francisco O. Conrado).

FIGURE 3 Cytologic findings. (A) Microscopic image of pancreatitis with mature exocrine pancreatic cells in the upper left, accompanied by red blood cells and increased numbers of nondegenerate neutrophils in a coarsely granular proteinaceous background (500×, Wright Giemsa Stain, image provided by Francisco O. Conrado). (B) Microscopic image of pancreatitis containing mineralized material (hyperchromatic purple refractile material), red blood cells, neutrophils, and scattered vacuolated macrophages that likely contain lipid from fat degradation. The background also contains purple granular material consistent with lubricant ultrasound coupling gel (400×, Wright Giemsa Stain, image provided by Francisco O. Conrado).

A&M University, Gastrointestinal Laboratory) concentration after sampling.133 Additionally, a study of 92 dogs documented no adverse effects in 92.6% of dogs, and those that did have complications often had concurrent sampling of other tissues performed and life-threatening comorbidities.134 Complications included fever (3 dogs), hemoabdomen (1 dog), and seizures (1 dog). Four dogs had cardiac arrest between 3 hours and 4 days after the procedure. Given the nature of the study and the presence of clinically relevant comorbidities, a relationship could not be determined between these complications and pancreatic aspiration. In dogs with AP, cytologic findings include degenerate or nondegenerate neutrophils, cellular and necrotic debris, lipid mineralization, and clusters of normal to hyperplastic exocrine pancreatic cells (Figure 3).135 The diagnostic yield of pancreatic cytology is similar to that of other abdominal organs at approximately 73.5%.134 In a study that evaluated the diagnostic yield and complication rate of pancreatic FNA in dogs, cytologic findings were shown to correlate with additional testing in 90.1% of cases, but only 11 dogs had confirmatory testing performed.134 Cytology may be most useful when pancreatic neoplasia is considered a likely differential diagnosis.

Although histopathology is rarely performed in the diagnostic approach to AP, it may be considered in cases of suspected neoplasia or when a patient with pancreatitis must undergo exploratory laparotomy for another reason.118,136,137 Pancreatic carcinomas, although rare in dogs and cats, can lead to nonspecific clinical signs, and concurrent inflammation can limit the detection of pancreatic carcinomas in the absence of histopathologic evaluation.136,137 Both cytology and histopathology are considered highly specific for a diagnosis of AP in that the presence of acinar cells and inflammatory cells identifies the presence of pancreatitis. In a study of 47 nontargeted pancreatic necropsy samples, 16% had suppurative inflammation in just 1 region, whereas 17% had necrosis in just 1 region and 55% had lymphocytic inflammation within in just 1 area of the pancreas.9 Thus, a surgical biopsy sample likely would have low and variable sensitivity for detection of pancreatic inflammation and necrosis. Therefore, a negative finding should not be considered definitive.7 Finally, the diagnostic agreement between cytologic and histopathologic samples of the exocrine pancreas is poorly documented, and more studies are required in this area, including investigations incorporating clinical follow-up.

CONCLUSIONS

Substantial advances have been made in the diagnostic approach to AP in dogs over the past several years, predominantly in the areas of diagnostic imaging and lipase assays. We utilize a combination of suggestive clinical signs and physical examination findings, in conjunction with increased pancreatic lipase concentration and consistent findings on diagnostic imaging to make a clinical diagnosis of AP. Analytic validation is a prerequisite to clinical investigation when considering the use of lipase assays. Additionally, several diseases other than AP may result in increases in serum amylase or lipase activities. Although AUS frequently is used in the diagnosis of AP, the number of abnormalities detected likely influences test performance, with more abnormalities resulting in higher diagnostic specificity. Diseases other than AP also may result in similar ultrasonographic changes. It is likely that CTA and other advanced imaging modalities such as CEUS will play a larger role in the diagnosis of AP in dogs in the future. Given the lack of a single diagnostic gold standard and limited agreement between any single diagnostic modality and clinical reference standard, integration of clinical findings, imaging results, lipase assays, and cytologic or histopathologic findings, where available, will provide optimal diagnostic results. Enzyme activities or diagnostic imaging should not be utilized in isolation. Future studies evaluating the diagnostic performance of any assay or imaging modality in AP should utilize blinded assessment of data and should specify in detail the nature of the clinical reference standard utilized, including the sampling timeline and duration of clinical findings. Given the limitations of retrospective studies, well-designed prospective studies are needed to investigate the performance of various diagnostic assays, imaging modalities and agreement between tests.
Studies utilizing histopathology should clearly distinguish analysis of performance for AP vs CP. Furthermore, integration of diagnostic criteria should facilitate progress in the study of pancreatitis in dogs.
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